UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/539,399 | 10/03/2006 | Henry William Lupton | LRM-36144-A-US 4662 | |
| | 7590 08/13/201 CHBOECK DUDEK S | EXAMINER | | |
| | AL PROPERTY DEPA | PANI, JOHN | | |
| 33 East Main Street, Suite 300 Madison, WI 53703-4655 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3736 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/13/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomailbox@whdlaw.com ekenrick@whdlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/539,399 | LUPTON, HENRY WILLIAM | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | JOHN PANI | 3736 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | shortened statutory period for reply origit r than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reig | acted claims | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | impliante / imonamone (i | 102 02 1). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | kplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 54,55,57-67 and 69-77 as in Action of Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | <u>4/8/10</u> . | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 70 includes the newly presented limitation "and being perpendicular to", which requires further consideration and/or search. Claims 78-85 have been added without canceling any finally rejected claims.